

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7                   WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
8                   AT TACOMA

9                   HARRY VERN FOX,

10                  Plaintiff,

11                  v.

12                  HENRY RICHARDS, *et al.*,

13                  Defendants.

Case No. C06-5063 RBL/KLS

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

14  
15                  This 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights action has been referred to United States Magistrate Judge  
16 Karen L. Strombom pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local MJR 3 and 4. Before the  
17 Court is Plaintiff's motion for a temporary injunction. (Dkt. # 42). After carefully reviewing  
18 Plaintiff's motion and Defendants' response (Dkt. # 44), the Court concludes that Plaintiff shall be  
19 ordered to show cause why the Court should not find Plaintiff in violation of this Court's previous  
20 admonition that Plaintiff should not submit pleadings prepared on his behalf by Mr. Scott.

21                   **I. DISCUSSION**

22                  In this Court's Report and Recommendation (Dkt. # 36), Plaintiff was advised that Richard  
23 Roy Scott is in violation of the Case Management Order under which Mr. Scott litigates, that Mr.  
24 Scott has been prohibited from filing documents in other cases for other residents of SCC, and that  
25 appropriate sanctions against Mr. Scott in his litigation (Case No. C06-5340, Dkt.# 6, ¶ 8), were

1 being considered. Additionally, Plaintiff was specifically advised that Mr. Scott may not file  
2 documents on Plaintiff's behalf and that any future filings submitted by Mr. Scott on Plaintiff's behalf  
3 will not be considered by the Court.

4 Plaintiff's motion for temporary injunction (Dkt. # 42) appears to be written in Mr. Scott's  
5 hand-writing. If so, this is a clear violation by Mr. Scott of the Case Management Order under  
6 which he litigates and a violation by Plaintiff of this Court's Order.

7

8 ACCORDINGLY, Plaintiff is **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE** why the Court should not  
9 find Plaintiff in violation of this Court's previous Order that it will not consider pleadings filed on  
10 Plaintiff's behalf by Mr. Scott. Plaintiff shall respond to this Order to Show Cause **no later than**  
11 **June 22, 2007**. If Plaintiff fails to respond, the Court will consider appropriate sanctions.

12

13

DATED this 21st day of May, 2007.

14

15



16 Karen L. Strombom  
17 United States Magistrate Judge

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

ORDER - 2